





Part 1 – background information

### Details of Appeal

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Date of Submission** |  |
| **Name of Appellant** |  |
| **Evidence that Appellant is the Project Representative**  **(e.g. GS Cover Letter/SustainCERT App user)** |  |
| **Decision Appealed**  **(delete as applicable)** | Validation Opinion  Verification Opinion  GS Eligibility at Preliminary Review[[1]](#footnote-1)  GS Design Certification/Renewal  GS Performance Certification  GS Design Change |
| **Appeal Type** | Negative Opinion  Rejection/Decertification  Material/Serious Error[[2]](#footnote-2) |
| **Justification (and supporting evidence)** |  |

### Project Key Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **GS ID** |  |
| **GS Version** |  |
| **Title** |  |
| **Project Scale** |  |
| **Country** |  |
| **Project Type (e.g. wind, A/R etc)** |  |
| **Time of First Submission** |  |
| **Design Certification Date (if applicable)** |  |
| **Monitoring Period No. (if applicable)** |  |
| **Project Start Date** |  |

### Project Review Chronology and Key Outcomes (please detail any significant events you wish to be considered in the Appeal)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Date** | Activity |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Review Documentation Supplied (please provide copies of all Review documentation, or emails/communications in support of the Appeal)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Part 2 - decisions

### Decisions Appealed

**Using the box below, state the specific rule or directive from sustainCERT you wish to appeal and explain why you believe a decision has not been made correctly**

>> (300 words)

### Mitigating Circumstances (if applicable)

**If you believe mitigating circumstances apply to the decisions taken by sustainCERT, please include them below. Explain how any mitigating circumstances:**

1. **are not a result of the project developer’s failure to fulfil its responsibility to ensure its employees, agents, and representatives comply with Gold Standard rules**
2. **if granted, will not harm the Gold Standard brand and result in an unequal application of Gold Standard rules for all users.**

>> (300 words)

1. Preliminary Review is not considered a certification decision unless sustainCERT decide that a project is ineligible and do not List the project – a project retains the right to appeal this decision. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Defined as greater than 10% emission reductions, or an error that causes significant harm to a project; [↑](#footnote-ref-2)